



EXPEDITIONARY LEARNING

Quality Work Protocol Summary Sheet

School Name	Anser Charter School
Date of Protocol	8-25-14
Participants (Name and Role)	1. Kelly McLeod 2. Jennifer Trople 3. Kelly Selvage 4. Nicole Pape - Facilitator 5. Dani Zepplin - Presenter 6. Jess McGinnis 7. 8. 9. 10.

Based on today's protocol, what conclusions did you draw about the level of quality displayed in the student work?

The product is complex because it requires explaining the relevance of the facts included. Craftsmanship in typing, mounting, balancing, finding appropriate visuals for events; two-sided. Authentic idea in mobile, covers influential Hispanics from past, present, and different parts of world.

Based on today's protocol, what conclusions did you draw about the tasks and scoring tools that teachers are designing? Process has been well thought out by teacher, but needs refining.

Many steps already present to scaffold steps to product. On the events notecatcher, the identity impact should be listed to help student. Page for internet sources is part of task list, but include on mobile. Include currently students have to provide 2 reasons why each event was

Based on these conclusions, what goals and action steps did you determine?

Goal	Action Steps/Dates
① Bring to higher level thinking	Extend discussion plans for beyond when students complete. Display world map & have students mark the area of their person. Could distinguish past
② Refine process steps and forms:	Add to task descriptor steps and clarity. Think through protocol of peer critique. Rubric changes - section on late or incomplete steps that affect final product

task descriptor and rubric.

↓ from present. Students will connect to global dimension through discussion & reflection

May increase global understanding

an identity impact. Consider requiring one clear reason why the event impacted the person.

A character trait is required.

Students could write identity paragraph after all events and look at the person holistically for a trait that stands out.

Typing tips/formatting provided

Peer review provided, but give more space for feedback.

Standard included on 'new' task description and needs to be incorporated into existing planner packet.

Continued from Goal 1

(ex) Share in same field/career groups and the whole class discussion to compare identity traits across those groups.

Quality Work Protocol Note Catcher

Revised ACS April 2014

Part I: Attributes of Quality in Student Work

As you review the work we have collected today, think about the "Attributes of High-Quality Student Work" and make notes below about student work samples that reflect the criteria. Please also include a brief note that explains your rationale for including the piece within a specific column.

Evidence of Complexity	Evidence of Craftsmanship	Evidence of Authenticity
<p>I am assuming "different perspectives will need how each step aided in her success</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ why each step was important 	<p>Typeed, ribbon (mobile) mounted. 2-sided</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ typed ◦ colorful - patterns ◦ conventions ◦ balance ◦ specificity - age, dates, two sided ◦ planning for matching ◦ mounting left attaching string <p>transfer of understanding?</p> <p>How to keep students from plagiarizing</p>	<p>Mobile idea is one where all sides can be shown!</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Influential Spanish, Hispanic. Not just present day. ◦ Student choice seemed present ◦ Title in Spanish. ◦ Global understandings increased? <p>Conventions?</p>

Part II: Tasks and Scoring Tools that Invite and Support Quality

- ① Research required
- ② Broken into 5 parts
- ③ Why the information was important
- ④ Decide what visual matched relevance
- ⑤ Stereotypes, researcher brought to light.

Make sure it's an ongoing project rather than the end.

Record inferences about how features of the tasks and scoring tools invite and support students in creating high-quality work.

Revised ACS April 2014

Task Descriptors	Scoring Tools (rubrics, scales...)
<p><u>What do you want?</u></p> <p><u>Power Point</u></p> <p><u>Used rubric - models</u></p> <p>Sources listed</p>	<p>Scoring rubric Requires more? Revisions for improvement?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Impact on identity Should be required? o Identity/character trait? (Identity Paragraph) more than 2 b o Significant life events (cold section for impact?) Include plagiarism? o reflection <p>New one: learning target</p> <p>On order of task, do paragraph last. <u>Put section for life impact in note catcher.</u></p> <p>What could we do to improve our tasks and scoring tools? <u>What was their hispanic culture?</u></p> <p>What connections do we see between the quality of student work and the tasks and scoring tools teachers are creating? What do these connections suggest in terms of goals?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Separate tips from tasks. o Cold narrow to 5 from original 8 events. o On each next event - put in both old

More room for open-ended feedback

Quality Work Protocol Note Catcher

Part I: Attributes of Quality in Student Work

As you review the work we have collected today, think about the "Attributes of High-Quality Student Work" and make notes below about student work samples that reflect the criteria. Please also include a brief note that explains your rationale for including the piece within a specific column.

Evidence of Complexity	Evidence of Craftsmanship	Evidence of Authenticity
<p>✓ too connected the idea of identity throughout</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - research on hope - unanswered <p>research</p>	<p>Editing student</p> <p>Clean lines, no Typing no smudges</p> <p>Editing excellent.</p>	<p>real connection to current topics</p> <p>format real world.</p>
<p>✓ research</p> <p>different perspective, shows identity and position of his sponsor</p> <p>notes</p>	<p>Well thought out.</p> <p>Research extended into more unique ideas.</p>	<p>Connects to note.</p> <p>Information of informative and gave enough / more inclusive client know previously.</p>

Record inferences about how features of the tasks and scoring tools invite and support students in creating high-quality work.

Revised ACS April 2014

Task Descriptors	Scoring Tools (rubrics, scales...)
<p>Question to ask yourself - Gives students an opportunity to check their facts to the main concept is it related?</p> <p>Peer review guided the students if they needed to do more research. Review guided the reviewer to look for specific ideas</p> <p>Typing tips - very helpful kids could type independently</p>	<p>Title - specific requirements. Easy to follow P-difference between describing identity and identifying the extent involvement - outside material an option. <u>Pilot events 2.5 -</u></p>

What could we do to improve our tasks and scoring tools?

What connections do we see between the quality of student work and the tasks and scoring tools teachers are creating? What do these connections suggest in terms of goals?

Quality Work Protocol Note Catcher

Part I: Attributes of Quality in Student Work

As you review the work we have collected today, think about the “Attributes of High-Quality Student Work” and make notes below about student work samples that reflect the criteria. Please also include a brief note that explains your rationale for including the piece within a specific column.

Evidence of Complexity	Evidence of Craftsmanship	Evidence of Authenticity
<p>task - identify events ↳ now shape, define ID</p> <p>"report"</p> <p>muddle through much research, often celebs - create an understanding of ID ↳ a lot of research</p>	<p>sources?</p> <p>thoughtful photos</p> <p>detail in beauty, font, construction</p> <p>typed colorful, carefully constructed well edited balanced (but given dimension)</p>	<p>not real-world format "report"</p> <p>gain understanding of Hispanic, Culture & Lang married</p> <p>plagiarism not Students' own thoughts can tell in writing</p> <p>- real-world issues: "putting down"</p> <p>* academic + real-world/people * pres-day + historical * student choice * authentic → placard in Spain * global citizens</p>
		<p>* BUT THEY ALL LOOK THE SAME</p>

Part II: Tasks and Scoring Tools that Invite and Support Quality

I help! facilitating research

Side note: not all met target

*Min. Nos

Revised ACS April 2014

Record inferences about how features of the tasks and scoring tools invite and support students in creating high-quality work.

Task Descriptors	Scoring Tools (rubrics, scales...)
<p><u>Original</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- helps section info.- easily transferable to product format- concise, not too crowded- clearly state event + 3-5 details- NO ST./STND~- "Description in short off on "research planner"	<p><u>New - quick type</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- More of a list- includes STND + LTs- McCall: in refln: "maybe more, very clear directions"- 3-5 details- Specific in #s- 3 = meets expectations (use report card lang) \rightarrow solid <p><u>Questions</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- getting started tips

What could we do to improve our tasks and scoring tools?

What connections do we see between the quality of student work and the tasks and scoring tools teachers are creating? What do these connections suggest in terms of goals?

limit - time, knowledge of lang. (using its in eng.), research abilities
Amber - want less material to distract on writing

Quality Work Protocol Note Catcher

Revised ACS April 2014

Part I: Attributes of Quality in Student Work

As you review the work we have collected today, think about the “Attributes of High-Quality Student Work” and make notes below about student work samples that reflect the criteria. Please also include a brief note that explains your rationale for including the piece within a specific column.

Evidence of Complexity	Evidence of Craftsmanship	Evidence of Authenticity
<p>Breaking biography into 5 sections and adding an illustration.</p> <p>a summary</p>	<p>well designed colorful typed</p> <p>Social issue of racial stereotyping in entertainment addressed careful construction</p>	<p>high interest/exposure celebrities</p> <p>historical figures</p> <p>↗</p>

Part II: Tasks and Scoring Tools that Invite and Support Quality

Record inferences about how features of the tasks and scoring tools invite and support students in creating high-quality work.

Task Descriptors	Scoring Tools (rubrics, scales...)
<p><i>Lesson Plan</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• focus on ideas of IDENTITY and SIGNIFICANT tree events• good research notecard• typing tips, tabs over break	<p>5 major sections</p> <p>Clear expectations ~ very specific</p> <p>shaded columns</p> <p><u>New task descriptor</u></p> <p>5 steps?</p> <p>Standard included *</p>

What could we do to improve our tasks and scoring tools?

What connections do we see between the quality of student work and the tasks and scoring tools teachers are creating? What do these connections suggest in terms of goals?

Quality Work Protocol Note Catcher

Part I: Attributes of Quality in Student Work

As you review the work we have collected today, think about the "Attributes of High-Quality Student Work" and make notes below about student work samples that reflect the criteria. Please also include a brief note that explains your rationale for including the piece within a specific column.

Evidence of Complexity	Evidence of Craftsmanship	Evidence of Authenticity
<p>Pictures Coupled with Writing = student has to decide the visual to complement the text - or vice versa</p> <p>Text includes many details and indicates that quite a bit of research went into the creation.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Cards include detail & specificity• Typed• Two-sided <p>References to the bigger ideas of racism, bigotry</p>	<p>Title card in Spanish Includes scientists, addresses, artists</p> <p>Students self-selected who they did research on. → influential Spanish</p>

Part II: Tasks and Scoring Tools that Invite and Support Quality

Record inferences about how features of the tasks and scoring tools invite and support students in creating high-quality work.

Task Descriptors	Scoring Tools (rubrics, scales...)
<p>research planner</p> <p>unique life events – influential in making the person who they are – connects to identity.</p> <p>Questions... great thought provokers "identity under 'Events'" to connect to identity.</p>	<p>Workmanship... outside materials used?</p> <p>Rubric emphasized connection to "identity under 'Events'"</p> <p>typing tips/ Peer review</p> <p>Seems really helpful but could be organized more clearly</p> <p>planning tool – asks for 8 life events and has room for 5 events</p> <p>Peer Review – more room for feedback</p>

What could we do to improve our tasks and scoring tools?

What connections do we see between the quality of student work and the tasks and scoring tools teachers are creating? What do these connections suggest in terms of goals?

Quality Work Protocol Note Catcher

Revised ACS April 2014

Part I: Attributes of Quality in Student Work

As you review the work we have collected today, think about the “Attributes of High-Quality Student Work” and make notes below about student work samples that reflect the criteria. Please also include a brief note that explains your rationale for including the piece within a specific column.

Evidence of Complexity	Evidence of Craftsmanship	Evidence of Authenticity
<p>multiple events important to specific person's life</p> <p>overall biography</p> <p>events impacting Identity</p>	<p>Typed text boxes cut & mounted</p> <p>- Supporting images mounted behind the text</p> <p>- Attached w/ string to mobile</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Spanish artist ~ very influential oneSpanish dancer / actress ~ present day1st Hispanic woman to fly into space1st Hispanic to win Academy award

Part II: Tasks and Scoring Tools that Invite and Support Quality

Record inferences about how features of the tasks and scoring tools invite and support students in creating high-quality work.

Task Descriptors	Scoring Tools (rubrics, scales...)
<p>Tips for getting Started - multiple questions to ask when choosing a significant event</p> <p>Research planners</p> <p>Significant Life Events websites used for reflection</p> <p><u>New Task Descriptor</u></p> <p>Research</p> <p>Descriptive identity</p> <p>Choose 5 major events</p> <p>Find pictures</p> <p>Create mobile (descri. portion included)</p>	<p>Title ~ in Spanish Identity Box, ~ describe identity Events ~ event + reasons of impact Photos ~ direct link to event Workmanship ~ typed, edited, colorful!</p>

What could we do to improve our tasks and scoring tools?

What connections do we see between the quality of student work and the tasks and scoring tools teachers are creating? What do these connections suggest in terms of goals?